

TECHNICAL EVALUATION OF APPLICANT'S BUDGET
FOR RESEARCH, EDUCATION, AND CONFERENCES

In reviewing the requested budget submission, I have determined that the level of effort for this project, which includes a percentage of the principal investigator's time and that of graduate students, post doctoral staff, trainees, and others, is consistent with the objectives of this effort. In addition:

Questions (Circle Your Answer, N/A = Not Applicable)

1. The labor hours/mix and salary rates appear reasonable.
(Do rates, for example, compare to those paid at similar institutions for similar work?) Yes No N/A
2. The requested travel (foreign or domestic) is relevant and pertinent to the successful completion of the effort?
Yes No N/A
The number of trips and/or days are appropriate?
Yes No N/A
And, if itemized trips are not identified, the project costs are considered reasonable for this effort?
Yes No N/A
3. The particular type, kind, and quantity of equipment, materials, and computer time appear reasonable and necessary for this effort? Yes No N/A
4. Consultant time and any other direct costs listed appear necessary for the conduct of this effort? Yes No N/A
5. The arrangement whereby a portion of the substantive programmatic effort is being performed by an entity other than the awardee is acceptable? Yes No N/A
6. All other contracted effort appears necessary for the conduct of the project? Yes No N/A
7. Any recipient proposed cost-sharing is considered only an informal arrangement Yes No N/A

COMMENTS ON QUESTIONS 1-7 TO BE PROVIDED ONLY AS NEEDED

Since the acceptance of the applicant's budget confers DOE's prior approval on elements specifically identified in it (such as foreign travel and equipment purchases), it is necessary to identify those budgeted items which are not approved or for which there is insufficient detail in the application. (Leave blank if no action.)

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

Other notes to the negotiator:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

James S. Horwitz
Project Officer