

EXAMPLE



Department of Energy

Oak Ridge Office
P.O. Box 2001
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831

August 2, 2011

Mr. J. Scott Branham
Chief Financial Officer
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
UT-Battelle, LLC
Post Office Box 2008
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6231

Dear Mr. Branham:

FISCAL YEAR 2011 MANAGEMENT REPRESENTATION LETTER

Per the enclosed guidance, the Oak Ridge Office (ORO) Manager is required to submit a Management Representation Letter to the Lead Program Secretarial Officer in the format prescribed. To support the preparation of this letter, it is requested that all management and operating/management and integration contractors make similar representations relating to their financial data. Therefore, please prepare and submit by September 23, 2011, a representation letter in accordance with the enclosed format. While the format should be closely followed, all representations included in the guidance may not apply to your circumstances; therefore, your letter should be tailored for your organization. Your response should include 45 items, as the sample format does, with "Not Applicable" entered for the items that do not apply to your site.

The Management Representation Letter, signed by the President of your company, should be addressed to the ORO Manager with copies to me and the ORO Chief Financial Officer, Judith M. Penry.

Your assistance in this matter is appreciated. If there are any questions or additional information is required regarding this request, please contact Rosemary Smith at (865) 241-6766.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Johnny O. Moore".

Johnny O. Moore
Contracting Officer's Representative

Enclosure

EXAMPLE

Mr. J. Scott Branham

-2-

August 2, 2011

cc w/enclosure:

Carolyn M. Davis, SC-10, ORO

Mark A. Million, SC-10, ORO

Rosemary F. Smith, FM-712, ORO

Brian P. Ault, ORNL

Mark J. Boatner, ORNL

Mike L. Emery, ORNL

E X A M P L E

Sample Field Management Representation Letter

MEMORANDUM FOR LEAD PROGRAM SECRETARIAL OFFICER
DEPARTMENT'S CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

FROM: HEAD OF FIELD ELEMENT

SUBJECT: FY 2011 MANAGEMENT REPRESENTATION LETTER

Obtaining a representation regarding the fair presentation of the FIELD ELEMENT'S financial statements is a significant procedure in the audit of those statements. As Manager of the FIELD ELEMENT, I confirm that I am responsible for the fair presentation of the FIELD ELEMENT'S statements, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. This letter covers the following organizations under my cognizance: [include any organizations/offices here].

Certain representations in this letter are described as being limited to matters that are material. Items are considered material, regardless of size, if they involve an omission or misstatement of accounting information that, in the light of surrounding circumstances, makes it probable that the judgment of a reasonable person relying on the information would be changed or influenced by the omission or misstatement.

In connection with the audit of the Department's September 30, 2011, consolidated financial statements and its September 30, 2010, consolidated financial statements, I confirm, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the following representations made to you and, where applicable, to KPMG:

1. The FIELD ELEMENT'S consolidated financial statements are fairly presented in accordance with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) requirements and in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.
2. FIELD ELEMENT has made available to you and to KPMG:
 - a. All financial records and related data;
 - b. All communications from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) concerning noncompliance with or deficiencies in financial reporting practices, if applicable; and
 - c. All reports from the Department's service organizations.
3. The FIELD ELEMENT has complied, in all material respects, with applicable laws, regulations, and provisions of contracts and grant agreements that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements in the event of noncompliance. We have

EXAMPLE

disclosed to you all known instances of non-compliance with laws, regulations, and provisions of contracts and grant agreements.

4. The FIELD ELEMENT is responsible for compliance with the laws, regulations, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the Department. The FIELD ELEMENT has identified and disclosed to you all laws, regulations, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements that have a direct and material effect on the determination of consolidated financial statement amounts.
5. I acknowledge responsibility for the design and implementation of programs and controls to prevent, deter and detect fraud. I understand that the term "fraud" includes misstatements arising from fraudulent financial reporting and misstatements arising from misappropriation of assets.

Misstatements arising from fraudulent financial reporting are intentional misstatements, or omissions of amounts or disclosures in financial statements or performance results to deceive financial statement users. Misstatements arising from misappropriation of assets involve the theft of the Department's assets where the effect of the theft causes the consolidated financial statements not to be presented in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

6. Except as disclosed to you, there have been no known:
 - a. Instances of fraud, or suspected fraud, whether or not material, involving management or other employees who have a significant role in internal control over financial reporting, or others (e.g. recipients of Federal financial assistance or other Federal payments) where the fraud could have a material effect on the financial statements.
 - b. Allegations of fraud or suspected fraud affecting the Department received in communications from employees, former employees, regulators or others.
 - c. Instances where any officer of the Department, or any other person acting under the direction thereof, has taken any action to fraudulently influence, coerce, manipulate or mislead you during this audit.
 - d. Communications from other governmental entities or agencies (e.g., the U.S. Department of the Treasury) concerning noncompliance with, or deficiencies in, financial accounting practices.
 - e. Communications from regulatory or oversight agencies such as OMB and the Government Accountability Office (GAO), concerning noncompliance with, or deficiencies in, financial reporting practices that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.
 - f. Violations, or possible violations of laws and or regulations whose effect should be considered for disclosure in the consolidated financial statements or as a basis for

EXAMPLE

recording a loss contingency, except for unresolved recommendations in prior Office of Inspector General and GAO audit reports, which have been considered in preparing the consolidated financial statements.

- g. Violations, or possible violations of specific provisions of contracts or grant agreements, the effects of which should be considered for disclosure in the consolidated financial statements or as a basis for recording a loss contingency, except for those items which have been considered in preparing the consolidated financial statements.
 - h. Allegations, either written or oral, of misstatements or other misapplications of accounting principles in the FIELD ELEMENT consolidated financial statements.
 - i. Allegations, either written or oral, of deficiencies in internal control that could have a material effect on the FIELD ELEMENT consolidated financial statements.
 - j. Communications from the legal counsel reporting evidence of a material violation of law or breach of fiduciary duty or similar violation by the Department or any agent thereof.
 - k. False statements affecting the consolidated financial statements made to you, our Inspector General, or other auditors who have audited entities under our control upon whose work you may be relying in connection with your audits.
7. Except as disclosed to you in writing, there are no known:
- a. Unasserted claims or assessments that our General Counsel or the Department of Justice General Counsel has advised us are probable of assertion and must be disclosed in accordance with the Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards No. 5, *Accounting for Liabilities of the Federal Government*, as amended, that have not been disclosed.
 - b. Other liabilities or gain or loss contingencies that have not been accrued or disclosed that are required by the Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards No. 5, *Accounting for Liabilities of the Federal Government*, as amended.
 - c. Material transactions (e.g., obligations or commitments) or events that have not been properly recorded in the accounting records underlying the consolidated financial statements and/or special purpose statements.
 - d. Events that have occurred subsequent to September 30, 2011, and through the date of this letter, that would require adjustments to or disclosure in the consolidated financial statements.
 - e. Commitments (excluding leases and PMA power) by the Department that need to be disclosed in the consolidated financial statements.

EXAMPLE

8. The Department indemnifies its management and operating contractors against financial responsibility from nuclear accidents, under the provisions of the Price-Anderson Act. Except to the extent otherwise disclosed in the Legal Representation Letter and in the Non-Monetary Loss Contingency Report submitted to the Inspector General by the Department's program offices, I am aware of no liabilities or loss contingencies resulting from this indemnification.
9. The following, pertaining to the FIELD ELEMENT have been properly recorded or disclosed in the consolidated financial statements:
 - a. Purchase commitments for inventory quantities in excess of normal requirements or at prices in excess of the prevailing market prices.
 - b. Changes in accounting principles affecting consistency.
 - c. Agreements to repurchase assets previously sold including sales with recourse.
 - d. The existence of and transactions with joint ventures and other related organizations.
 - e. Guarantees (for example, loan guarantee programs), whether written or oral, under which the Department is contingently liable.
 - f. Commitments for the purchase or sale of services or assets at prices involving material probable losses.
 - g. Losses to be sustained as a result of other than temporary declines in the fair value of investments.
 - h. Losses to be sustained from the inability to fulfill any sales commitments.
 - i. Lease commitments. The Department has commitments to the United States Enrichment Corporation under the lease agreement between the United States Department of Energy and the United States Enrichment Corporation, dated July 1, 1993, for the lease of the gaseous diffusion uranium enrichment plants located at Paducah, Kentucky and Portsmouth, Ohio, and as supplemented December 7, 2006, for certain gas centrifuge plant facilities at Portsmouth, Ohio.
10. The FIELD ELEMENT has identified and properly accounted for all non-exchange transactions.
11. Except as disclosed in the financial statements and special purpose financial statements, the Department has no plans or intentions that may materially affect the carrying value or classification of assets and liabilities. The Department's consolidated financial statement footnotes adequately disclose that future decisions, including but not limited to changes in environmental cleanup standards or remediation technologies, decisions to dispose of nuclear materials, revisions in land use or waste disposal assumptions, or reductions in Federal Government spending, could have a material effect on environmental

E X A M P L E

remediation liabilities. The Department will ascertain the impact on the environmental remediation liabilities once it has some assurance that a policy, decision or assumption is likely to be altered and make any necessary adjustments or disclosures in future consolidated financial statements.

12. The FIELD ELEMENT'S inventories and operating materials are stated at historical cost in accordance with Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards No. 3, *Accounting for Inventory and Related Property*, except where valuation at net realizable value is authorized by the Standard. Exceptions include (1) stockpile materials that management has determined have permanently declined in value below cost or are damaged or decayed; and (2) excess, obsolete or unserviceable items. Where feasible, physical counts and measurements of inventories and operating materials were made or inventories were reconciled to third parties, and records were appropriately adjusted to reflect the physical inventories. The Department's inventory of nuclear materials is valued at standard transfer value, which approximates historical cost, except for certain nuclear materials that have been identified as surplus or excess to the Department's needs. These nuclear materials are recorded at their net realizable value, except for surplus materials that are in the active weapons stockpile; these materials will be valued at historical cost until the associated weapons are returned to the Department for dismantlement. Costs associated with the stockpile life extension program, the tritium production program and the plutonium pit production have been capitalized into inventory in accordance with the provisions of Chapters 9 and 15 of the Department's *Accounting Handbook*.
13. I believe that the carrying amounts of all of the FIELD ELEMENT'S material assets are recoverable. I understand that with respect to the majority of the Department's assets, including nuclear materials and plant and equipment, the term "recoverable" does not imply that the Department can or would recoup the assets' carrying values by selling them, but that the assets are fulfilling their intended purposes and that their serviceability has not been impaired or that any material impairments are reflected in reduced carrying values for the assets.
14. The FIELD ELEMENT has satisfactory title to all owned assets and there are no liens or encumbrances on such assets nor have any assets been pledged as collateral.
15. The FIELD ELEMENT has not, directly or indirectly, including through a component entity, extended or maintained credit, arranged for the extension of credit, or renewed an extension of credit in the form of a personal loan to or for any management member of the Department.
16. The FIELD ELEMENT has properly accounted for all property, plant and equipment sold, destroyed, abandoned or considered to be obsolete and to have no further use. The FIELD ELEMENT has also properly accounted for property, plant and equipment predominately used in environmental remediation activities.

E X A M P L E

17. The FIELD ELEMENT has properly accounted for all capital assets, as either work-in-progress or completed projects as required in the Department's policy. Further, all capital assets are properly capitalized, reported, and, if applicable, depreciated.
18. The FIELD ELEMENT and its contractors have properly accounted for all internal use software that is used to operate programs and produce goods and services, as required, by Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards No. 10, *Accounting for Internal Use Software*. Capitalized internal use software costs are limited to those costs incurred after the completion of conceptual formulation, design, and testing of possible software project alternatives. The FIELD ELEMENT and its contractors have capitalized labor costs for employees that worked on software development projects for a substantial portion of time.
19. The FIELD ELEMENT has performed the necessary procedural requirements to develop and support the deferred maintenance estimate reported in the disclosure required by Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards No. 6, *Accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment*, as amended. All estimates developed for purposes of reporting the FIELD ELEMENT's deferred maintenance levels were developed in accordance with the Department's internal guidance. These estimates are properly documented and readily verifiable.
20. Provisions, when material, have been made:
 - a. To reduce excess, obsolete, damaged or unusable inventories to their estimated net realizable value. For example, the FIELD ELEMENT has analyzed all of its nuclear materials and identified all such materials that are excess to the FIELD ELEMENT'S needs and recorded appropriate allowances to record such inventories at net realizable value.
 - b. For any material adjustments of long-lived assets as a result of permanent impairment, in accordance with Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards No. 6, *Accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment*, as amended.
21. Receivables of the FIELD ELEMENT reported in the consolidated financial statements and special-purpose statements represent valid claims against debtors for sales or other charges arising on or before the balance sheet date, and have been appropriately reduced to their estimated net realizable value.
22. Related party transactions of the FIELD ELEMENT, including sales, purchases, loans, transfers, leasing arrangements, guarantees, ongoing contractual commitments and amounts receivable from or payable to related parties, have been properly recorded and disclosed in the consolidated financial statements. I understand that the term "related party" refers to affiliates of the Department or its contractors; trusts for the benefit of employees, such as pension and profit-sharing trusts that are managed by or under the trusteeship of management; key administrative, financial and legislative personnel and other members of the Department's management or businesses they represent or in which they have an interest; members of the immediate families of the Department's

EXAMPLE

management; and other parties with whom the Department may deal if one party controls or can significantly influence the management or operating policies of the other to an extent that one of the transacting parties might be prevented from fully pursuing its own separate interests. Another party is also a related party if it can significantly influence the management or operating policies of the transacting parties or if it has an ownership interest in one of the transacting parties and can significantly influence the other to an extent that one or more of the transacting parties might be prevented from fully pursuing its own separate interests.

23. During the fiscal years ended September 30, 2011, and 2010, the FIELD ELEMENT did not exceed its Congressionally-approved budgetary authorities.
24. All significant estimates, uncertainties and material concentrations of risk known to the FIELD ELEMENT management have been properly recorded and/or disclosed in the consolidated financial statements. Significant estimates are at the balance sheet date, and could change materially within the next year. Concentrations refer to volumes of transactions, revenues, available sources of supply, or markets or geographic areas for which it is reasonably possible that events could occur which would significantly disrupt normal operations within the next year.
25. All sales transactions entered into by the FIELD ELEMENT are final and there are no side agreements with customers or other terms in effect which allow for the return of items sold, except for defectiveness or other conditions covered by the usual and customary warranties.
26. I believe that the actuarial assumptions and methods used to measure pension and other actuarial liabilities and costs of contractor employee pension and post-retirement benefit plans for financial accounting and disclosure purposes are appropriate in the circumstances.
27. I have provided background and detailed cost information for all environmental liabilities identified to date, as well as information regarding pending, threatened or unasserted claims related to the environmental project sites identified. Provision has been made in the AFDCS model for Asbestos contamination in accordance with Departmental directives and the provisions of Technical Bulletin No. 2006-1, *Recognition and Measurement of Asbestos-Related Cleanup Costs*. Provision has been made for any material loss that is probable from remediation liabilities and liabilities for post-remediation stewardship associated with Department-owned properties, formerly utilized sites and sites with uranium mill tailings. Recorded environmental remediation and stewardship liabilities are based on the Department's best and most recent estimates of the expected costs, stated in fiscal year 2011 dollars, to remediate legacy facilities and contaminated sites managed by the Office of Environmental Management (EM) or other programs, perform long-term post-remediation stewardship activities, remediate active and surplus facilities managed by other programs, dispose of high-level waste and spent nuclear fuel, and to dispose of surplus nuclear materials and unneeded materials and chemicals. I believe that such estimates are reasonable based upon available information and that the liabilities, related loss contingencies, and the expected outcome of

EXAMPLE

uncertainties have been adequately disclosed in the consolidated financial statements and related footnotes. The environmental remediation liability includes adequate provisions for costs to dispose of all nuclear materials and other unneeded materials and chemicals whose disposition as waste is probable (more likely than not) as defined by Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards No. 5, *Accounting for Liabilities of the Federal Government*, as amended. I have made a reasonable effort to identify the presence or likely presence of potential environmental contamination at Department-owned properties, and to identify formerly utilized sites and sites with uranium mill tailings for which the Department will be responsible for post-remediation stewardship.

28. The environmental remediation liability for facilities and sites managed by EM (EM liability) is based partly upon assumptions as to anticipated funding. Although all funding is subject to OMB and Congressional approval, I believe that the funding assumptions underlying the EM liability are reasonable. In addition, the liability includes an estimate of the cost impact of differences between the funding assumptions in the EM baseline estimates and current funding expectations.
29. The assumptions in the EM cost estimates with respect to shipments of high-level waste, including the capsules containing cesium and strontium stored at the Hanford site and the high-level waste calcine at Idaho, and spent nuclear fuel to a geologic repository, and the liability for disposal of high-level waste and spent nuclear fuel, are based upon reasonable expectations as to repository availability, the rate of waste acceptance, and waste acceptance criteria.
30. The cleanup approach for the Hanford site assumes that the Department will determine that a portion of the waste currently managed as high-level waste may be disposed of on-site as low-level waste. The Department believes that there is only a slight chance that authorization to so classify the waste will not be received.
31. The environmental remediation liabilities for construction, commissioning and operation of the Hanford Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant are based upon the best information currently available and reflect reasonable expectations as to the future costs of the projects.
32. The liability for disposition of the high-level waste calcine at Idaho is based on a direct disposal planning case. At this point in the project, we believe there is no reasonable basis to view any other treatment or disposal option as more likely than direct disposal.
33. Any revisions to Tri-Party Agreement milestones, governing the cleanup of the Hanford site, that may occur as a result of comments received are not expected to result in a material change to the Department's environmental liabilities.
34. I believe the amounts paid under existing settlements related to spent nuclear fuel litigation provide a reasonable basis to project the potential liability for all existing reactors. Based on the uncertainty inherent in estimating the liability, I believe the estimated contingency included in the liability is consistent with other Departmental methods for estimating a contingency. I represent to you that the estimate takes into

EXAMPLE

consideration all utility companies who have entered into waste acceptance contracts with the Department. The estimate has been assessed to ensure that the various factors used to estimate the liability reflect current conditions and expectations as of September 30, 2011. I believe that the liability includes a provision for all probable damages that will result from the litigation and that no amount within the range of possible outcomes is a better estimate of the probable cost of those damages than the liability recorded in the financial statements as of September 30, 2011. Further, I represent that the liability and related matters are properly presented and disclosed in the financial statements.

35. I agree with the findings of specialists preparing cost estimates for environmental remediation projects and disposal of wastes and surplus or unneeded materials, measuring contractor employee retirement and postretirement benefit obligations, measuring and sampling inventories of nuclear materials, and evaluating potential damages from spent fuel litigation and have adequately considered the qualifications of the specialists in determining the amounts and disclosures used in the consolidated financial statements and underlying accounting records. I did not give or cause any instructions to be given to specialists with respect to the values or amounts derived in an attempt to bias their work, and I am not otherwise aware of any matters that have had an impact on the independence or objectivity of the specialists.
36. The Department's FY 2011 Budget Request announced the Administration's intended termination of the Yucca Mountain repository project and included the funding needed to explore alternatives for nuclear waste disposal. The Secretary established the Blue-Ribbon Commission composed of experts to evaluate alternative approaches for meeting the Federal Government's responsibility. In the interim, the Department's position is that its existing spent nuclear fuel litigation model provides a reasonable basis for its accounting liability estimate and that no basis exists or is warranted at this time to change the estimated beginning acceptance date or crossover date assumption used in the model.
37. Costs of the FIELD ELEMENT have been recorded in accordance with the Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards No. 4, *Managerial Cost Accounting Concepts and Standards for the Federal Government*.
38. Pursuant to the *Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act (FMFIA)*, I have assessed the effectiveness of the FIELD ELEMENT'S internal controls in achieving the following objectives:
 - a. Reliability of financial reporting - transactions are properly recorded, processed and summarized to permit the preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition; and
 - b. Compliance with applicable laws and regulations - transactions are executed in accordance with: (i) laws governing the use of budget authority and other laws and regulations that could have a direct and material effect on the consolidated financial statements, and (ii) any other laws and regulations and government-wide policies that

EXAMPLE

the OMB, Departmental management, or the Inspector General have identified as being significant for which compliance can be objectively measured and evaluated.

- c. Reliability of performance reporting – transactions and other data that support reported performance measures are properly recorded, processed and summarized to permit the preparation of performance information in accordance with criteria stated by management.
39. Except as disclosed, all internal controls are operating as of September 30, 2011, and for the year then ended, in accordance with the applicable policies and procedures and are effective in meeting the FMFIA objectives set forth above.
40. I have assessed the financial management systems under my purview to determine whether they comply substantially with Federal financial management systems requirements, applicable Federal accounting standards, and the U.S. Government Standard General Ledger at the transaction level. My assessment was based on guidance issued by OMB.
41. The financial management systems complied substantially with Federal financial management systems requirements Federal accounting standards, and the U.S. Government Standard General Ledger at the transaction level as of September 30, 2011.
42. The FIELD ELEMENT has commitments to the United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC) under the lease agreement between the U.S. Department of Energy and the USEC, dated July 1, 1993, for the lease of the gaseous diffusion uranium enrichment plants located at Paducah, Kentucky, and Portsmouth, Ohio, and as supplemented December 7, 2006, for certain gas centrifuge plant facilities at Portsmouth, Ohio.
43. In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, I have identified to you the significant findings and recommendations from previous financial audits, attestation engagements, performance audits, and other studies related to the objectives of this audit and have accurately communicated to you the related corrective actions taken to address the findings.
44. All undelivered order balances represent valid obligations of the FIELD ELEMENT and are based on valid contracts or agreements for which goods or services have not been received.
45. The Department received authority pursuant to Title VI of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, Section 638, to enter into contracts to pay up to a total of \$2 billion of covered costs for up to six reactors for standby support caused by certain delays as defined in Section 638. The Department has not entered into any contracts nor deposited any funds in the Standby Support Program Account or Standby Support Grant Account in anticipation of entering into such contracts as required by Section 638.

EXAMPLE

August 2, 2011

Mr. J. Scott Branham
Chief Financial Officer
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
UT-Battelle, LLC
Post Office Box 2008
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6231

Dear Mr. Branham:

FISCAL YEAR 2011 MANAGEMENT REPRESENTATION LETTER

Per the enclosed guidance, the Oak Ridge Office (ORO) Manager is required to submit a Management Representation Letter to the Lead Program Secretarial Officer in the format prescribed. To support the preparation of this letter, it is requested that all management and operating/management and integration contractors make similar representations relating to their financial data. Therefore, please prepare and submit by September 23, 2011, a representation letter in accordance with the enclosed format. While the format should be closely followed, all representations included in the guidance may not apply to your circumstances; therefore, your letter should be tailored for your organization. Your response should include 45 items, as the sample format does, with "Not Applicable" entered for the items that do not apply to your site.

The Management Representation Letter, signed by the President of your company, should be addressed to the ORO Manager with copies to me and the ORO Chief Financial Officer, Judith M. Penry.

Your assistance in this matter is appreciated. If there are any questions or additional information is required regarding this request, please contact Rosemary Smith at (865) 241-6766.

Sincerely,

Johnny O. Moore
Contracting Officer's Representative

Enclosure

EXAMPLE

Mr. Scott Branham
 Chief Financial Officer
 UT-Battelle, LLC
 Oak Ridge National Laboratory
 Post Office Box 2008
 Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6231

Dear Mr. Branham:

FISCAL YEAR 2011 MANAGEMENT REPRESENTATION LETTER

Per the enclosed guidance, the Oak Ridge Office (ORO) Manager is required to submit a Management Representation Letter to the Lead Program Secretarial Officer in the format prescribed. To support the preparation of this letter, it is requested that all management and operating/management and integration contractors make similar representations relating to their financial data. Therefore, please prepare and submit by September 23, 2011, a representation letter in accordance with the enclosed format. While the format should be closely followed, all representations included in the guidance may not apply to your circumstances; therefore, your letter should be tailored for your organization. Your response should include 45 items, as the sample format does, with "Not Applicable" entered for the items that do not apply to your site.

The Management Representation Letter, signed by the President of your company, should be addressed to the ORO Manager with copies to me and the ORO Chief Financial Officer, Judith M. Penry.

Your assistance in this matter is appreciated. Should you have questions or need further clarification regarding this request, please contact Rosemary Smith at (865) 241-6766.

Sincerely,

Johnny O. Moore
 Contracting Officer Representative

Enclosure

cc w/enclosure:
 Mark A. Million, SC-10, ORO
 Mike L. Emery, UT-Battelle
 Mark J. Boatner, UT-Battelle
 Brian P. Ault, UT-Battelle
 Rosemary A. Smith, FM-712, ORO

FM-712:RSmith:241-6766:ahawkins:576-0770:8/18/10:cmndrive:FM-712Branch/Farsoun Smith/Mgt Rep - FY 2011/mgmtrep11-UTB

File Code 534.1.8.5

Concurrence	
Rtg. Symbol & Init/Sig.	FM-712 <i>[Signature]</i> Smith
Date	7/12/11
Rtg. Symbol & Init/Sig.	FM-70 <i>[Signature]</i> Penry
Date	7/15/11
Rtg. Symbol & Init/Sig.	SC-10 <i>[Signature]</i> Moore
Date	8/12/11
Rtg. Symbol & Init/Sig.	
Date	
Rtg. Symbol & Init/Sig.	
Date	